



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/856,989	09/07/2001	Bernd Hessing	10191/1791	6458
26646	7590	09/21/2005	EXAMINER	
KENYON & KENYON ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004			ZEWDU, MELESS NMN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2683	
DATE MAILED: 09/21/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/856,989	HESSING ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Meless N. Zewdu	2683	

All Participants:
Status of Application: allowed

 (1) Meless N. Zewdu.

(3) _____.

 (2) Linda M. Shady (Reg. # 47,084).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 September 2005
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 N/A

Claims discussed:
 N/A

Prior art documents discussed:
 N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
 See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Previous partial amendment (5/30/01) to the specification did not include the complete specification as amended. This resulted in a "PRINTER RUSH". Examiner informed and inquired applicant to submit the complete specification via examiner's fax number. As agreed upon then, the faxed specification was received by examiner on 9/8/05. .